### Exhibit 25

APPLICATION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, EXPENSES AND INCENTIVE AWARDS

Case No. 3:07-cv-5944, MDL No. 1917

#### I, Amelia F. Burroughs, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice before the courts of California and the Northern District of California and a partner in the law firm Janssen Malloy LLP. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this declaration and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to them. I make this declaration in support of my firm's request for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of litigation expenses, as set forth in Plaintiffs' Application for Attorneys' Fees, Expenses and Incentive Awards.
- 2. My firm is counsel of record in this case, and represents named plaintiffs Chad Klebs and Michael Juetten. A brief description of my firm and a biography of each attorney who worked on this case is attached as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.
- 3. Throughout the course of this litigation, my firm kept files contemporaneously documenting all time spent, including tasks performed, and expenses incurred. All of the time and expenses reported by my firm were incurred for the benefit of the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs ("IPPs").
- 4. During the course of this litigation, my firm has been involved in document review on behalf of the IPPs, including review, categorization, and summary of documents used in class certification, identification of relevant pricing documents, identification and summary of documents for use at witness depositions, and preparation of documents used in opposition to motions for summary judgment. All of this work was assigned and approved by Lead Counsel.
- 5. The schedule attached as Exhibit 2, and incorporated herein, is a detailed summary of the amount of time spent by my firm's partners, attorneys and professional support staff who were involved in this litigation. It does not include any time devoted to preparing this declaration or otherwise pertaining to the Joint Fee Petition. The calculation is based on my firm's billing rates in effect at the time services were performed. Exhibit 2 was prepared from contemporaneous time records regularly prepared and maintained by my firm and provided to lead counsel. I authorize those records to be submitted for inspection by the Court if necessary. The hourly rates for my firm's partners, attorneys and professional support staff included in Exhibit 2 were at the

time the work was performed the usual and customary hourly rates charged for services in other
class litigation. Those rates are as follows: \$775 per hour for partner W. Timothy Needham, \$550
per hour for partner Amelia F. Burroughs, \$300 per hour for our Associate Shanti Michaels, and
\$175 per hour for our paralegal Karen Ellis. Mr. Needham has been involved as counsel in
numerous class action cases, including Lincoln Savings and Loan Litigation, In re Cosmetics, In re
Air Cargo, In re Insurance Broker, DRAM Litigation, and Beal v. Sun Valley Bulb Farm. Mr.
Needham and I were members of the trial team in Lavender v. Skilled Healthcare Group, the first
case to go to trial to enforce staffing regulations against a skilled nursing home chain on a class-
wide basis. In 2011, we received recognition as Trial Lawyer of the Year by Public Justice and
Consumer Attorney of the Year by Consumer Attorneys of California. Similar rates for my firm
have been approved by courts in other cases, including:

- Montreuil, et al v. The Ensign Group, Inc, et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court,
   Case No. BC449162;
- Hernandez, et al v. Golden Gate Equity Holdings, LLC, et al., San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-10-505288;
- Walsh, et al v. Kindred Healthcare, Inc., et al., U.S. District Court Northern District of California, Case No. 3:11-cv-00050;
- Dalao, et al. v. Lifehouse Holdings, LLC, et al., Alameda County Superior Court, Case
   No. RG12660602;
- Valentine, et al. v. Thekkek Health Services, Inc. et al., Alameda County Superior
   Court, Case No. RG10546566; and
- Correa, et al. v. SnF Management Company, LLC, et al., Alameda County Superior
   Court, Case No. RB13664498.
- 6. As reflected in Exhibit 2, the total number of hours reasonably expended on this litigation by my firm from inception to May 31, 2015 is 1,822.20 hours, for a total amount billed of \$546,932.50. Expense items are billed separately and are not duplicated in my firm's hourly rates.

- 7. The expenses my firm incurred in litigating this action are reflected in the books and records of my firm. These books and records are prepared from expense vouchers, invoices, receipts, check records and other source materials and accurately reflect the expenses incurred. My firm's expense records are available for inspection by the Court if necessary.
- 8. My firm incurred a total of \$15,026.08 in unreimbursed expenses, all of which were reasonable and necessary for the prosecution of this litigation. Of this amount, \$15,000.00 was for assessment payments for common litigation expenses or direct payments to experts or other vendors made at the request of Lead Counsel, and an additional \$26.08 was for non-common litigation expenses incurred by my firm, such as postage and court costs. A summary of those expenses by category is attached at the end of **Exhibit 2**.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 11<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2015, in Eureka, California.

Amelia F. Burroughs

#### JANSSEN MALLOY LLP

730 Fifth Street

P.O. Drawer 1288

Eureka, California 95502 Telephone: (707) 445-2071

Fax: (707) 445-8305

#### **PARTNERS**

W. Timothy Needham Michael Morrison Dennis C. Reinholtsen Michael J. Crowley Patrik Griego Amelia Burroughs

#### **ASSOCIATES**

Megan Yarnall Jeffrey Slack

#### LEGAL ASSISTANTS

Marla G. Zumwalt
Nancy Holmes McPartland
Karen O. Ellis
Connie Scheckla
Leslie Ames

#### **PRACTICE**

Complex civil and business litigation. AV rated by Martindale-Hubbell.

#### FIRM BIOGRAPHY

#### Trial Counsel in:

Seaman's Direct v. Standard Oil American Continental Corporation/Lincoln Savings & Loan Securities Litigation Lavender v. Skilled Healthcare, et al.

#### Class Counsel in:

Cosmetics Antitrust Litigation Carbon Fiber Cases I, II & III

DRAM Cases

In Re: Environmental Technologies Litigation

In Re: Flat Glass Cases
In Re: Laminates Cases

In Re: Natural Gas Litigation

In Re: Pacificare

In Re: Air Cargo Shipping Services Antitrust Litigation

In Re: International Air Transportation Surcharge Antitrust Litigation

In Re: Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation

In Re: Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation

Bates, et al. v. Skilled Healthcare Group, Inc., et al.

Polyester Staple Cases

Sanitary Paper Cases I & II

Shuts, et al. v. Covenant Holdco LLC, et al.

Montreuil, et al. v. The Ensign Group, Inc., et al.

Wehlage, et al. v. EmpRes Healthcare, Inc., et al.

Hernandez, et al. v. Golden Gate Equity Holdings, LLC, et al.

Walsh, et al. v. Kindred Healthcare, et al.

Valentine, et al. v. Thekkek Health Services, Inc., et al.

Regina, et al. v. Hycare, Inc., et al.

Dalao, et al. v. LifeHouse Holdings, LLC, et al.

Correa, et al. v. SnF Management Company, LLC, et al.

Carnes, et al. v. Atria Senior Living, Inc., et al.

Winans, et al. v. Emeritus Corporation, et al.

#### ATTORNEY BACKGROUND

#### W. Timothy Needham:

Mr. Needham is an experienced trial attorney who has tried over forty jury cases to verdict in numerous courts throughout the State of California. The emphasis of his practice includes class action, antitrust and complex business claims. He is a former president of the Humboldt County Bar Association and is a member of the American Board of Trial Advocates. He is also a member of the Board of Directors of Redwood Capital Bank. Mr. Needham was selected for the California Lawyer of the Year award for 2010 by *California Lawyer Magazine* for his work on *Lavender v. Skilled Healthcare, Group, Inc., et al.,* and was part of a team of attorneys that received the honor of 2011 Trial Lawyers of the Year from the Public Justice Foundation, and was selected Trial Lawyer of the Year by the Consumer Attorneys of California.

#### Amelia F. Burroughs:

Ms. Burroughs joined the firm in 2007 after practicing in San Francisco and San Diego, and she became a partner at the firm in 2011. Her practice emphasizes employment law, medical malpractice defense, personal injury, consumer and complex litigation. Ms. Burroughs was one of the trial team awarded the honor of The Public Justice Foundation's 2011 Trial Lawyer of the Year, she was selected for the 2011 Rising Stars list for Northern California, and she has been named a Northern California SuperLawyer since 2012. Ms. Burroughs is an honors graduate from CSU, Sacramento (B.A., 1999) and McGeorge School of Law, University of the Pacific (J.D., 2002), where she served as Editor-in-Chief of the McGeorge Law Review (2001-2002). Ms. Burroughs is admitted to all U.S. District Courts in California, as well as the Ninth Circuit United States Court of Appeals. She is the former President of the Humboldt County Bar Association, and she currently serves as the District 1 Governor for California Women Lawyers.

#### Shanti Michaels:

Ms. Michaels joined the firm as an associate in February 2010. Ms. Michaels received her B.A. with honors from Mount Holyoke College in International Relations and Spanish in 2003 and her law degree from the University of California, Hastings College of Law in 2009. Prior to joining Janssen Malloy, Ms. Michaels worked as an attorney on class actions for Wolf Popper LLP in Puerto Rico. Ms. Michaels has also worked for the California Anti-SLAPP Project and is currently as Associate at Ginn and Crosby, LLP.

### Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073-25 Filed 09/23/15 Page 10 of 19 IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION; MDL NO. 1917

#### TIME AND LODESTAR SUMMARY

#### INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS

Firm Name	Janssen Malloy LLP	
Reporting Year	2010	

	Hourly													2.5	
Name/Status	Rate	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Hours	Lodestar
Needham, W. Tim	\$ 775.00	0.3												0.3	\$ 232.50
														0.0	\$ -
													r pr	0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
				7.00											
														0.0	\$ -
				1000			8				-9			0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
															\$ -
												A		0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
				Legy										0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
	W													0.0	\$ -
	120													0.0	\$ -
									6					0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
	A 100 - 100													0.0	\$ -
					1									0.0	\$ -
												-		0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
					2 2 3									0.0	\$ -
														0.0	
			78. 18.											0.0	\$ -
														0.0	
		0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	\$ <b>2</b> 32.50

1

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073-25 Filed 09/23/15 Page 11 of 19 IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION; MDL NO. 1917

#### TIME AND LODESTAR SUMMARY

Firm Name	Janssen Malloy LLP	
Reporting Year	2011	

	Hourly											ļ				
Name/Status	Rate	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Hours	Lodesta	ar
Needham, W. Tim	\$ 775.00							0.3						0.3	\$ 232	2.50
Ellis, Karen	\$ 175.00	0.5												0.5		7.50
Michaels, Shanti	\$ 300.00	1.7						63.2						64.9	\$ 19,470	0.00
														0.0	\$	-
														0.0	7	-
														0.0	~	_
														0.0	T	~
														0.0	\$	_
														0.0	7	-
														0.0	\$	F
														0.0	\$	-
														0.0		m.
												-8		0.0	7	-
													,	0.0	\$	-
									28					0.0	\$	-
										590				0.0	\$	9
														0.0	\$	-
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					300 300									0.0	\$	- :
														0.0		1
														0.0		-
						7/								0.0		_
N 3												-		0.0	120	-
										- N				0.0		-
														0.0		-
														0.0		-
										3.5				0.0		-
														0.0		-
		11						W						0.0		-
·														0.0		-
		2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	63.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	65.7	\$ 19,790	0.00

### Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073-25 Filed 09/23/15 Page 12 of 19 IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION; MDL NO. 1917

#### TIME AND LODESTAR SUMMARY

Firm Name	Jannssen Malloy LLP	
Reporting Year	2012	

Name/Status	Hourly Rate	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Hours	Lodestar
Michael, Shanti	\$ 300.00	12.5				21.2		833.5		53.8					\$ 276,300.00
Tricinaci, orianti	7 300.00	22.0				24.2		000.0		33.0				0.0	
														0.0	\$ -
			7/4											0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
		-												0.0	\$ -
		_		S-C387.										0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
													7	0.0	\$ -
					- 200									0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
									p					0.0	\$ -
															\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	
										3				0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
		12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.2	0.0	833.5	0.0	53.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	921.0	\$ 276,300.00

### Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073-25 Filed 09/23/15 Page 13 of 19 IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION; MDL NO. 1917

#### TIME AND LODESTAR SUMMARY

Firm Name		
Reporting Year	2013	

	Hourly														100
Name/Status	Rate	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Hours	Lodestar
Michaels, Shanti	\$ 300.00	11.7				602.0	300	134.7						748.4	\$ 224,520.00
														0.0	\$ - \$ -
		2												0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
							100							0.0	\$ -
						0								0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
					//									0.0	\$ -
						·								0.0	\$ -
	N - P													0.0	
				000										0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
			12											0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
								8						0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
										V				0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	
														0.0	\$ -
	D						775							0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	
				2-2		239								0.0	\$ -
														0.0	
		11.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	602.0	0.0	134.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	748.4	\$ 224,520.00

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073-25 Filed 09/23/15 Page 14 of 19 IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION; MDL NO. 1917

#### TIME AND LODESTAR SUMMARY

Firm Name			
Reporting Year	2014		

	Hourly															
Name/Status	Rate	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Hours	L	odestar
Burroughs, Amelia	\$ 550.00					0.2								0.2	\$	110.00
Michaels, Shanti	\$ 300.00					54.3					32.3					25,980.00
			245-250												\$	2.7
														0.0	\$	-
															\$	•
														0.0		Ψ.
														0.0		-
														0.0	\$	-
																675
														0.0	\$	-
														0.0		-
														0.0		-
																-
														0.0		-
														0.0	\$	-
														0.0	\$	-
														0.0	\$	**
											1				\$	-
															\$	
														0.0	\$	-
	7/1													0.0	\$	-
														0.0	\$	-
														0.0	\$	**
														0.0	\$	-
														0.0		
		1 - A														-
				1000										0.0		
								10 × 1.1						0.0		-
														0.0		-
*		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	54.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	32.3	0.0	0.0	86.8		26,090.00

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073-25 Filed 09/23/15 Page 15 of 19 IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION; MDL NO. 1917

#### TIME AND LODESTAR SUMMARY

Firm Name			
Reporting Year	2015		

	Hourly														
Name/Status	Rate	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Hours	Lodestar
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
					1000							34		0.0	\$ -
				participate of										0.0	\$ -
														0.0	
												0.00		0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
						5				A				0.0	
						4.44								0.0	
														0.0	
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
								9						0.0	\$ -
1000000									- 2					0.0	\$ -
										7				0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
											-			0.0	\$ -
														0.0	
2 22 22														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	
														0.0	\$ -
		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

### Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073-25 Filed 09/23/15 Page 16 of 19 IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION; MDL NO. 1917

#### TIME AND LODESTAR SUMMARY

Firm Name	Janssen Malloy LLP	
Reporting Year	Inception through Present	

Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Hours	Lodestar
2007	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	\$ -
2008	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	\$ -
2009	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	\$ -
2010	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	\$ 232.50
2011	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	63.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	65.7	\$ 19,790.00
2012	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.2	0.0	833.5	0.0	53.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	921.0	\$ 276,300.00
2013	11.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	602.0	0.0	134.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	748.4	\$ 224,520.00
2014	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	54.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	32.3	0.0	0.0	86.8	\$ 26,090.00
2015	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	\$ 2
	26.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	677.7	0.0	1031.7	0.0	53.8	32.3	0.0	0.0	1822.2	\$ 546,932.50

STATUS:		CATEGORIES:
(P)	Partner	1 Attorney Meeting/Strategy
(OC)	Of Counsel	2 Court Appearance
(A)	Associate	3 Client Meeting
(LC)	Law Clerk	4 Draft Discovery Requests or Responses
(PL)	Paralegal	5 Deposition Preparation
(1)	Investigator	6 Attend Deposition - Conduct/Defend
		7 Document Review
		8 Experts - Work or Consult
	1	9 Research
		10 Motions/Pleadings
		11 Settlement

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST	Document 4073-25	Filed 09/23/15	Page 17 of 19				
	EXHIBIT 2						
IN RE CATHORADE	IN RE CATHORADE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION; MDL NO. 1917						
12	TriTIME AND LODESTAR	SUMMARY	1				
<del></del>	INDIRECT PURCHASER	PLAINTIFFS					

### Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 407929 Filed 09/23/15 Page 19 of 19

### IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION; MDL NO. 1917

#### **EXPENSE SUMMARY**

Firm Name	Janssen Malloy LLP
Reporting Year	Inception through Present

TYPE OF EXPENSE	TOTAL			
Assessments				
Outside Copies				
In-house Reproduction /Copies				
Court Costs & Filing Fees	\$	25.20		
Court Reporters 7 Transcripts				
Computer Research				
Telephone & Facsimile				
Postage/Express Delivery/Courier	\$	0.88		
Professional Fees (investigator, accountant, etc.)				
Experts				
Witness / Service Fees				
Travel: Airfare				
Travel: Lodging/Meals				
Travel: Other				
Car Rental/Cabfare/Parking				
Other Expenses CRT Antitrust Litigation Fund	\$	15,000.00		
	\$	15,026.08		